Oey, Jan

From: Kinser, Robin D.
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2002 11:14 AM
To: Tricker, Anthony; Oey, Jan
Cc: Kinser, Robin D.
Subject: RE: abstract draft

OK, I'm not sure what really makes a "standard clinical chemistry method" What I was alluding to is a commercial instrument designed for measurement of CO in exhalate. The written information Covance provided and the websites indicate its utility for measurements of CO in exhalate for clinical concerns, i.e. exposure due to poor ventilation, etc. What I wanted to be clear was that we had not developed any unique methodologies. We could say "with commercially-available intrumentation and standard clinical chemistry methods" if that's more satisfactory.

--robin

-----Original Message-----
From: Tricker, Anthony
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2002 11:01 AM
To: Oey, Jan
Cc: Tricker, Anthony; Kinser, Robin D.
Subject: FW: abstract draft

Dear Jan,
I have received the attached abstract from Robin which contains a different conclusion statement than that contained in the abstract you sent me on the 28th of January. I find this conclusion to be far more suitable since it is all you can conclude from the data presented.
Although not included in the abstract you sent, attention is drawn to the fact that the first paragraph states "Standard clinical chemistry methods were utilized in all analyses" - determination of CO in exhale is not a standard clinical chemistry method, while determination of COHb is a standard method.
Best regards,
Tony

<< File: sot2002draftrev4.doc >>
-----Original Message-----
From: **Kinser, Robin D.**
Sent: 31 janvier 2002 16:40
To: Tricker, Anthony
Cc: Kinser, Robin D.
Subject: abstract draft

<< File: sot2002draftrev4.doc >>

PM3006639401

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/zlcj0001